

EXHIBIT 3

District	Plaintiff/League Member Name	Chen evidence	Warshaw evidence	Vatter evidence	Other evidence
24	Kathleen Poore		Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 40 (noting that the district is cracked by removing a portion of a Democratic-leaning county and adding a portion of a Republican-leaning county)	•McMaster Dep 191:18-21 (24th District became more Republican after redistricting)
32	Roger Brdak	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 32 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 83, 86	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 41 (noting that it is a "cross-shaped" district that cracks Democratic voters)	•Began Dep. 170:2-25 (District 32 is "odd-shaped" and Republicans referred to it as the "Jesus district.")
51	Olivia Procter Maynard	Chen Report at 51 (identifying House District 32 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 42 (noting that the district is cracked by removing Democratic voters and adding townships (along with certain portions of Oakland County) that are Republican-leaning)	•Began Dep. 48:7-18 (noting that the initial draft map was altered at Rep. Scott's request to remove Grand Blanc); •McMaster Dep. 155:10-15 (stating that the Republican base number for District 51 moved up "one or two" points after new map-drawing).
	Adalea Janice Sain-Steinborn				
	John Helsom				
52	Harvey Somers	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 52 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 43 (noting that the district was drawn to make it competitive for Republicans)	•Began Dep. 65:4-66-11 (describing direction to mapmakers to avoid "giv[ing]" GOP Rep. Mark Ouimet "the bad district")
55	Margaret Leary	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 55 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 80, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 43 (noting that the district is packed to make the 52nd district competitive for Republicans)	•Began Dep. 94:13-23 (discussing maps for Washtenaw County, including county break)
60	Denise Louise Hartsough	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 60 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79-80, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 44	

House Evidence
District by District

District	Plaintiff/League Member Name	Chen evidence	Warshaw evidence	Vatter evidence	Other evidence
62	Shirley Zeller	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 62 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 44	•McMaster Dep 126:21-127:8 (testifying that Jase Bolger told McMaster to "go back to the drawing board" because he "wanted to go west and pick up some townships")
63	Jessica Reiser	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 63 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 82, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 44	•McMaster Dep. 213:18-214:16 (stating that district 63, along with district 62, was a configuration that Speaker Bolger had asked them to "go back to the drawing board" on, and that the Republican base number for District 63 ended up increasing "maybe a point").
75	Elianna Bootzin	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 75 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79-80, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶¶ 45-47 (noting that the district is packed to make the 76th district as "GOP-friendly as possible.")	
76	Donna Farris	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 76 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 84, 87	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶¶ 45-47 (noting that the district is now as "GOP-friendly as possible" because Democratic voters were removed and packed into the 75th district)	
83	Lisa Morse		Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 48 (noting that the district cracks Democratic voters)	•McMaster Dep 98:10-17 -(noting that Jeff Timmer's advice was sought for 91st District); •McMaster Dep 201:5-15 (final draft of 91st District was favorable to Representative Hughes and likely used to get her vote, and this "[w]as an area where [the mapmakers] had some discretion in line drawing even staying within the Apol standards")
91	Linda M. Aerts	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 91 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 85, 88	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 49 (noting that the district is cracked by removing Democratic voters and adding them to the 92nd district)	• McMaster Dep. 200:19-201:15 (stating that the version the map adopted was the "best"--i.e., most Republican-favorable--that could have been drawn without violating Apol, and that this was "an area where [the mapmakers] had some discretion even staying within the Apol standards"); at 202:8-206:8 (acknowledging that a map Timmer provided was a "gift to the House GOP").
92	Pamela Lynk	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 92 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79-80, 85, 88	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 49 (noting that the district is packed with Democratic voters that were added from the 91st district)	•McMaster Dep. 98:9-101:6 (acknowledging that he had sought input from Timmer regarding the line-drawing between Districts 91 and 92)

House Evidence
District by District

District	Plaintiff/League Member Name	Chen evidence	Warshaw evidence	Vatter evidence	Other evidence
94	Paul Purcell	Chen Report at 56 (identifying House District 94 as a partisan outlier); see also id at 70-72, 79, 81, 85, 88	Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 50 (noting that the district was drawn to crack Democratic voters by placing them into the 95th district and adding portions of Saginaw County that are Republican-leaning)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •McMaster Dep 140:12-19, 141:5-6 (Rep. Stacy Erwin Oakes requested an additional township for future Senate run; this change was ultimately made) •McMaster Dep 145:11-14 (Districts 85, 94, and 95 all changed because of Rep. Stacy Erwin Oakes' request to add additional territory); •Began Dep. 49:19-50:6 ("We removed James Township so Stacy Erwin Oakes would agree to vote for the plan.")
95	Sherrill Leigh Smith		Warshaw Declaration & Chart	Vatter Decl. ¶ 50 (noting that the district was drawn to pack Democratic voters by adding such voters from the 94th district)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •McMaster Dep 140:12-19, 141:5-6 (Rep. Stacy Erwin Oakes requested an additional township for future Senate run; this change was ultimately made) •McMaster Dep 145:11-14 (Districts 85, 94, and 95 all changed because of Rep. Stacy Erwin Oakes' request to add additional territory); •Began Dep. 49:19-50:6 ("We removed James Township so Stacy Erwin Oakes would agree to vote for the plan.")
Evidence as to all Districts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Began Dep. 144: 24-148:22 (discussing the creation of a document that broke down every house district by Republican base); • Began Dep. 155:3-6 ("The fact that Republicans are still in the majority, that would be saying [the map drawing process] was a success, the conditions worked out where Republicans are in the majority"); •Began Dep. 158:22-159:2 (Began never spoke to the Democratic map drawers during the redistricting process, and didn't know who they were); •Timmer Dep. II 160:24-162 (acknowledging draft map with chart showing partisan voting index); •Timmer Dep. II 259:24-260:13 (testifying that protecting incumbents was taken into account to secure votes for the passage of the plan); •Exhibit 14 (draft map showing political data for proposed districts); •McMaster Dep. 80:4-11 (stating that a map drawn to maximize a party's advantage can be "fair and legal," and acknowledging that "wigggle room" exists within the Apol criteria); • McMaster Dep. 149:4-150:14 (stating that he studied the partisan index of draft districts before meetings with lawmakers to be able to tell them whether they had been given a "winnable" district when asked). 				